

Horsham District Local Plan (2023-2040)

Hearing Statement Relating to Matter 1
On Behalf of Vistry Group PLC
Relating to Land to the North of Mannings Heath

November 2024

Vistry Group

Gillings
Planning

Contents

	Page
1. Introduction	3
2. Our Responses to the Matters Issues and Questions:	5

Author

[Redacted]

Senior Director

Gillings Planning Ltd
2 Wessex Business Park
Colden Common
Winchester
Hampshire
SO21 1WP

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Client

Vistry Group PLC

Date of Issue

22nd November 2024

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This Hearing Statement has been prepared on behalf of our client Vistry Group PLC ('Vistry') in response to the publication of the Horsham District Local Plan 2023-2040 (the 'Plan').
- 1.2 Our client previously made representations at Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 stages of the Local Plan preparation.
- 1.3 Vistry have land interests within the Plan area at a site known as Land to the North of Mannings Heath.

Mannings Heath

- 1.4 Representations have previously been submitted to the Council's Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 consultation stages on the Horsham District Local Plan on behalf of Countryside Properties ('Countryside'), which is now part of the Vistry Group. This included documentation which set out the significant benefits of the Site, which adjoins the settlement boundary of Mannings Heath.



Aerial photograph showing the Mannings Heath site outlined in red (by courtesy of Google ©)

This Statement

- 1.5 This brief Hearing Statement has been prepared in accordance with the prevailing planning policy and guidance, in particular the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), September 2023 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG).
- 1.6 We do not seek to unnecessarily repeat points raised in the representations submitted by Vistry Group, but we have answered the questions posed by the Planning Inspector in the Matters, Issues and Questions (14th October 2024) where we feel it would be helpful to do so.
- 1.7 Gillings Planning, on behalf of the Vistry Group PLC wish to take a full and active part in the relevant Hearing sessions relating to their interests in the site.

2.0 Our Responses to the Matters, Issues and Questions

Matter 1 – Legal and Procedural Requirements

Matter 1, Issue 1 – Whether the Council has complied with the duty to cooperate in the preparation of the Plan?

Q3. *What substantial concerns have been raised in terms of compliance with the duty to co-operate?*

2.1 As set out at paragraph 2.2 of our Regulation 19 representations, and not repeated here, we have expressed concerns that there has been a failure of the Duty to Cooperate with regards to a request from Chichester District Council that does not appear to have been dealt with.

2.2 **Q4.** *How has the Council co-operated to establish and meet a housing need? How specifically have development constraints influenced that co-operation, particularly water neutrality?*

2.3 As we have set out in our representations, and not repeated here, we consider that the Council has used water neutrality as an excuse to unnecessarily constrain the housing growth in the District and wider housing market areas and in light of the ‘Sussex North Offsetting Water Scheme’ (SNOWS) and Southern Water’s ‘Water Resources Management Plan’ (WRMP) scheme we consider that the Council has not cooperated effectively to meet the identified housing needs.