



Horsham District Local Plan Examination

Horsham District Council Schedule of Suggested Modifications to the Regulation 19 Local Plan

Version 3: December 2024

Please note:

This version of the *Horsham District Council Schedule of Suggested Modifications to the Regulation 19 Local Plan* replaces the November 2024 version.

This document has been updated to include additional modifications suggested within the Council's Hearing Statements previously in *HDC18 Suggested Mods Schedule MIQs November 2024*.

Horsham District Council Schedule of Suggested Modifications to the Regulation 19 Local Plan

This schedule sets out proposed wording amendments, correction of factual errors or similar.

Proposed deletions are shown as a ~~strike through~~ and proposed additions are underlined.

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|----------|---------------------------|---|---|
| SM01 | Contents | 21 | Amend text to state: Strategic Policy 21: Heritage Assets and Managing Change within the Historic Environment | Correction to reflect that this is a strategic policy as per the policy title. |
| HM001 | Contents | Policy 39 | Should read <u>Strategic</u> Policy 39: Affordable Housing | Factual update as this policy has been drafted and named as a Strategic Policy |
| SM02 | 1 | New para 1.2 | <p>Insert new paragraph</p> <p><u>On adoption of the Horsham District Local Plan 2023-2040 (HDLP) most of the policies in the Horsham District Planning Framework will be replaced. Appendix 1 of the plan sets out which policies will be replaced and which policies continue to form part of the development plan (saved policies).</u></p> <p>Consequential Update paragraph numbers</p> <p>Please refer to Appendix 1 of this Main modifications for the new text of Appendix 1 of the Plan.</p> | To provide clarification and certainty as to which policies have been saved. |
| HM002 | 1 | Paras 1.11 to 1.14 | <p>Delete all paragraphs in their entirety:</p> <p>Next steps</p> <p>1.11 “This document will be published for a formal ‘period of representation’ from Friday 19th January 2024 to Friday 1st March 2024. The document will be available to view...”</p> <p>[delete all text following, up to:]</p> | This text was specific to the Regulation 19 stage process up until the point of submission, and briefly explaining the examination process, and will be redundant by the time the Plan is ready for adoption. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | 1.14 “... If the Planning Inspector concludes that the plan is ‘Sound’ it will be formally adopted and will replace the Horsham District Planning Framework as the main planning document for the District. ” | |
| HM003 | 2 | Sub-heading preceding para 2.10 | Amend sub-heading: The Gatwick Diamond and Coast to Capital Local Enterprise Partnership | The Coast to Capital LEP (as with all other LEPs) was wound up in March 2024. |
| HM004 | 2 | 2.10 | Amend text: The majority of Horsham District is located within the Gatwick Diamond economic area which extends from Croydon in the north, around Gatwick Airport and down the A23 corridor to the south coast. Residents of Horsham District contribute to this wider regional economy. <u>Within this area, partnerships between local authorities and businesses will play a role in determining local economic priorities and undertaking activities to drive economic growth, investment and the creation of local jobs.</u> | The Coast to Capital LEP (as with all other LEPs) was wound up in March 2024. To complement the proposed deletion of para 2.11, some additional wording is proposed for para 2.10 to ensure the context of joint regional working is not lost. |
| HM005 | 2 | Para 2.11 | Delete entire paragraph: “The Coast to Capital Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) is focused in the Gatwick Diamond area. This LEP is currently a business-led partnership... This will include supporting the sub-regional economy as it continues to emerge from the Covid-19 pandemic, and the pause to development that has arisen as a consequence of the requirement for water neutrality.” | The Coast to Capital LEP (as with all other LEPs) was wound up in March 2024. Some additional wording is proposed for para 2.10 to ensure the context of joint regional working is not lost. |
| HM006 | 2 | Para 2.12 | Amend third sentence: “...The Council has therefore been mindful of the duty to have regard <u>to further</u> the two purposes of the National Park, namely to conserve and enhance its natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage and to promote the opportunities for public understanding and enjoyment of its special qualities. ...” | To bring into line with update to the National Parks & Access to the Countryside Act 1949 made by Section 245 of the Levelling Up & Regeneration Act (LURA) 2023. |
| HM007 | 3 | Para 3.11 | Amend third sentence: | Minor correction to ensure consistent terminology. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--|---|--|
| | | | "A small area in the south-east of the District also falls within the Sussex coast <u>Coastal West Sussex</u> housing market area." | |
| SM03 | 3 | Table 1, Objective 8 | Amend first sentence to read: To protect and promote the economic viability and vitality of Horsham Town, the smaller market towns <u>and villages</u> and the rural centres... | Clarification to make clear this objective includes villages and towns in the District |
| SM04 | 3 | Table 1, Objective 9 | Amend second sentence to read: The smaller market towns <u>and villages of Billingshurst, Henfield, Pulborough, Southwater, Steyning and Storrington</u> will be recognised as secondary hubs... | Clarification to identify the specific villages which act as secondary hubs as identified by Economic Development. |
| HM008 | 4 | Policy 3 | Amend policy to include : ' <i>Outside built-up area boundaries, <u>secondary settlements or strategic site allocations</u></i> ' | In order to avoid ambiguity between policies in the draft Local Plan, as per paragraph 16 of the NPPF and confirm that development within strategic site allocations would not fall within the definition of the rural area. |
| HM009 | 4 | Para 4.16 | Amend and of last sentence: "...together with the potential for an allocation to help deliver a school that meets existing and future educational needs including those within Crawley town <u>Borough</u> ." | Factual correction. |
| HM010 | 4 | 4.20 | Amend last sentence: "...the potential for the District to contribute to meeting the significant unmet housing needs for other authorities including <u>firstly</u> Crawley and <u>then</u> the South Coast." | To reflect agreed position as set out in the Northern West Sussex Statement of Common Ground (Housing Need) |
| HM011 | 4 | Figure 3 Horsham District Key Diagram (page 28) | Amend legend as follows: "Land <u>North</u> West of Southwater" | To ensure consistency in Local Plan with name of site allocation in HA3 |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
| SM05 | 4 | Para 4.35 | Amend first sentence: The priority <u>for the Local Plan and for Neighbourhood Plans</u> will be to locate appropriate development... | Clarification that the policy is applicable to local and neighbourhood plans. |
| SM06 | 4 | Para 4.37 | Insert new sentence after second sentence in paragraph: It is however recognised that additional development sites may come forward through allocations in Neighbourhood Plans. <u>This policy therefore sets the context in which any Neighbourhood Plan allocations should be made.</u> | Clarification that the policy is applicable to local and neighbourhood plans. |
| SM07 | 4 | Policy 5, Point 6 | Add additional sentence at the end of point 6: This should include an assessment of the impact on existing, committed and planned public and private investment in the town centre. <u>This requirement supersedes the retail impact assessment requirement outlined in Policy 35 part 5 and applies to Broadbridge Heath Quadrant only.</u> | To make clear that this is a requirement that relates to the Broadbridge Heath quadrant only. |
| HM012 | 5 | 5.3 | The Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019 commits the UK to carbon emission reduction target of 100% by 2050 compared with a 1990 baseline. This <u>net zero carbon</u> target will require radical changes in how we live and how we plan our settlements to ensure our energy and transport systems are decarbonised over the next 30 years. | To clarify that the requirement of the 2020 target amendment is commonly referred to as “net zero carbon”. The definition of Net Zero Carbon” has been added to the Glossary |
| SM08 | 5 | 5.9 | Amend first sentence to read: In line with the Horsham District Council Climate Action Strategy, the Council’s expectation is that new development that takes place is as a minimum designed to be net zero carbon <u>zero carbon emissions</u> in construction and operation. | Correction of terminology |
| SM09 | 5 | 5.12 | Amend final sentence and insert new sentence at end of paragraph: Where demolition is unavoidable, <u>applications proposals</u> will be required to demonstrate how the on-site embedded carbon has been retained <u>through the re-use and recycling of material such as bricks, wooden floors or panelling.</u> <u>Where demolition waste is crushed for hardcore, this should be done on or near site, and proposals should minimise the removal of demolition materials of fill from the site, where consistent with achieving a high quality, well</u> | Clarification on the expectation of the Council regarding how on-site embedded carbon has been retained. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <u>landscaped scheme. Criteria within Strategic Policy 8: Sustainable Design and Construction should also be considered and addressed.</u> | |
| SM10 | 5 | Policy 6: | Change criterion 1(e) as follows: Using patterns of development and providing sustainable transport infrastructure which to reduce the need to travel, encourage walking and cycling and include good accessibility to public transport and other forms of sustainable transport, <u>improving infrastructure as necessary to support this</u> , and ensure residents have access to services and facilities that are within walking distance; | To clarify that the policy seeks improvements to sustainable transport infrastructure as necessary to reduce the need to travel (as distinct from providing such infrastructure where it is not needed or not proportionate to what is proposed). Change made in response to Inspector's MIQs Matter 3 Issue 1 Question 1(d). |
| HM013 | 5 | 5.16 | The development of renewable and low carbon energy is a key means of reducing the District's contribution to climate change. Renewable and low carbon energy can encompass a wide range of technologies including combined heat and power (CHP); combined cooling, heat and power (CCHP); district heating, energy from waste , wind (large and small scale), biomass, solar (thermal and photovoltaics) and heat-pumps. | To reflect that emerging mandatory food and waste composting/recycling will drastically reduce biogenic content of residual waste, and that the (usually very) inefficient combustion of residual waste should not be regarded as a viable low carbon energy source. |
| SM11 | 5 | Policy 7 | Amend criterion 2.c): i. Use of waste heat sources; ii. i. Electrically-driven ground, water or air source heat pumps;** iii. ii. Direct Electric Heating** | To reflect that the use of waste heat sources is no longer considered to be an efficient combustion of residual waste, as well as concerns related to air quality impacts. |
| SM12 | 5 | 5.29 | Insert new sentence at end of first sentence: Other policies in this Local Plan further support sustainable design. <u>In addition to policy 8, Policy 9: Water Neutrality sets out the water efficiency standards which development will be required to meet. Where there is any conflict in standards, those required to meet water neutrality will take precedence. Development will also be required to include....</u> | Clarification to set out relationship between sustainable design and water neutrality standards. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| HM014 | 5 | 5.31 Second sentence | "This WRZ is <u>partly</u> supplied from groundwater abstraction, from the Folkestone bed of the Lower Greensand/Wealden Greensand semi-confined aquifer, on the River Arun, close to Pulborough. | Minor factual correction. |
| HM015 | 5 | Strategic Policy 9: Water Neutrality | Clause 7 to be amended to read: "Should the need to demonstrate water neutrality no longer be required, new residential development must be designed to utilise no more than 110 litres of mains supplied water per person per day, as per the Building Regulations optional requirement for tighter water efficiency. <u>For non-domestic buildings, the minimum standards for BREEAM 'Excellent' within the Water category will apply.</u> Should tighter national standards be introduced during the Local Plan period applicable for areas of serious water stress, they will be applied." | To make clear of requirements for non-residential properties and to align with policy wording of Crawley Local Plan |
| SM13 | 5 | Policy 10 | Amend criterion 1: Development proposals will only be supported where they follow a sequential approach to flood risk management, so that priority is given to development sites and areas with the lowest risk of flooding <u>from all sources</u> , where shown to be safe, and shown not to increase flood risk elsewhere. | To increase effectiveness of policy to ensure it is clear that flooding is from all sources. |
| SM14 | 5 | Policy 10 | Amend Criterion g: g) Comply with the tests and recommendations set out in the Horsham District Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRAs) <u>documentation, or Upper Mole Valley SFRA or any future updates.</u> | To clarification of the relevant titles of the applicable SFRA documentation. N.B. This supersedes modifications proposed to criterion g under mod ref HM016. |
| SM15 | 5 | Policy 10 | Amend Criterion i: | To improve the effectiveness of the policy by clarifying that it is surface |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|--|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | i) Make appropriate provision for surface water drainage to ground, water courses or surface water sewer. Development will not be allowed to drain <u>surface water</u> to the foul sewer; | water that must not be allowed to drain to the foul sewer to reduce the risk of sewer flooding. N.B. This replaces an identical modification proposed under mod ref HM016 |
| SM16 | 5 | Policy 10 | Amend Criterion m: <u>m) Consider the cumulative impacts of development on flood risk elsewhere.</u> | To improve the effectiveness of the policy and ensure it is clear that cumulative impacts are considered. N.B. This replaces an identical modification proposed under ref HM016 |
| SM17 | 5 | Policy 10 | Amend First sentence of Criterion 3: New development which incorporates SuDS will be supported where it considers amenity value, green <u>and blue</u> infrastructure, local ecological resources including water quality and biodiversity, natural flood management methods, and contributes towards environmental net gain. | Clarification to make clear that blue and green infrastructure should be included, to provide greater certainty and policy effectiveness for applicants and decision makers. N.B. This supersedes modifications proposed to criterion g under mod ref HM016 |
| <i>HM016</i> <i>Superseded by modifications ref SM14, SM15, SM16 and SM17</i> | | | | |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--|--|---|
| HM017 | 6 | Strategic Policy 11: Environmental Protection | Amend wording of criterion 3, 4 and 6 as follows: "3. Maintain or <u>and</u> improve the environmental quality of any watercourses, groundwater and drinking water supplies," "6. Minimise <u>Ensure no unacceptable impacts result from</u> air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions in order to protect human health and the natural environment." | To take strengthened wording so as to be more closely aligned with NPPF. |
| HM018 | 6 | Strategic Policy 11: Environmental Protection | Amend criterion 4 to read as follows: "..... , particularly with regard to <u>and avoid adverse impacts on and protect the integrity of</u> the South Downs International Dark Sky Reserve designation." | To ensure wording is sufficiently clear and robust to be effective. |
| HM019 | 6 | Strategic Policy 13: The Natural Environment and Landscape Character | Amend criteria 2, 3 and 4 as follows: "2. Maintain and enhance the Green Infrastructure Network, the Local Nature recovery Strategy and national Nature Recovery Network and, where practicable, help to address any identified needs and deficiencies, these networks across the District; | To reflect the increasing importance to be given to biodiversity and Nature Based solutions in accordance with the Environment Act and secondary legislation. |
| HM020 | 6 | Para 6.14 | This document sets out the mechanisms for calculating the additional transport emissions associated with development and the method for determining the estimated monetary value of damage from proposed development caused by pollutants including small <u>fine</u> particulates matter (PM10s <u>PM2.5</u>) and nitrogen oxides (NOx). | Factual clarification |
| SM18 | 6 | Para 6.27 | Amend Second sentence: This policy applies to all settlements and seeks to retain their unique identity and safeguard the undeveloped nature of the landscape between the towns and villages, <u>located outside of the defined built-up area boundaries, secondary settlement boundaries or allocations.</u> | Provide clarity of geographical application of policy. |
| HM021 | 6 | Para 6.32 | Amend third and fourth sentences: "...Applicants will need to be mindful of this <u>should have regard to the need to further the statutory purposes of the National Park</u> in relation to any proposals close to the South Downs National Park | For clarification and consistency with NPPF, and to bring into line with update to the National Parks & Access to the Countryside Act 1949 |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | boundary <u>and ensure that development within its setting should be sensitively located and designed to avoid or minimise adverse impacts.</u> The Council will have regard to the South Downs National Park Local Plan, the South Downs Integrated Landscape Character Assessment, the South Downs Partnership Management Plan, <u>the South Downs View Characterisation Study</u> and any other relevant documents and updates. The Council will also seek to support the National Park's status as an International Dark Night Skies Reserve in its decision making." | made by Section 245 of the Levelling Up & Regeneration Act (LURA) 2023. |
| HM022 | 6 | SP16: Settlement Coalescence, part 4 | Amend text: "Proposals within land that contributes to the setting of the South Downs National Park should be consistent with National Park purposes and have regard to the South Downs Local Plan, the South Downs Integrated Landscape Character Assessment, the South Downs Partnership Management Plan, <u>the South Downs View Characterisation Study</u> and any other relevant document and updates. In particular, proposals should not..." | Clarification of key documents |
| HM023 | 6 | Paragraph 6.44 (SP17) | Split paragraph After third sentence which ends before development can lawfully commence". In new para add the following text – the first two sentences of which are a reversal of the existing order at the end of para 6.44 "6.55 For the purposes of this policy the types of development subject to mandatory biodiversity net gain laid out in regulations constitutes 'relevant development'. Sufficient biodiversity gain information will be required at application stage to appropriately inform what constitutes the developable area and to ensure the required biodiversity net gains can be delivered. <u>Applicants should refer to additional relevant national planning policy, and any BNG guidance published by the Council, or any other relevant update, in ensuring the relevant information is submitted.</u> | Since the BNG regulations became applicable various implementation issues have been identified either nationally and locally, which may be altered or require further clarification. Some of this will be set out in future guidance which is to be prepared by HDC for use by applicants and officers. |
| HM024 | 6 | Strategic Policy 17: Green | All references to 'green infrastructure' be amended to refer to 'green <u>and blue</u> infrastructure' including the policy title. | To clarify that that opportunities to enhance and consider the water environment are reflected |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--|---|---|
| | | Infrastructure and Biodiversity (and supporting text) | | |
| HM025 | 6 | Strategic Policy 17: Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity | <p>Criterion 8: Amend this Policy criterion to read as follows:</p> <p>“Proposals must give appropriate consideration to protected, <u>priority</u> and notable species. They will be expected to protect <u>populations of protected, priority and notable</u> species and seek to aid their recovery, and must conserve, restore and enhance priority habitats, and should create and manage appropriate new habitats, taking into account pollination, where practicable.”</p> | To provide clarity over the regard to be given to species. |
| SM19 | 6 | Policy 18 | <p>Delete first sentence of policy: Local green and open spaces should be protected. Areas designated as Local Green Space, as identified on the Policies Map, will be safeguarded from development unless ...</p> | Clarification to improve the effectiveness of the policy by making clear the policy only relates to Local Green Space (a specific designation) and does not protect all open space, which is addressed by other policies. |
| SM20 | 7 | Para 7.8 | <p>Add new sentence to beginning of paragraph: <u>The historic environment is recognised and conserved through statutory and local designations.</u></p> <p>Amend second sentence: Heritage assets may be classified as either ‘designated’ (<u>statutory</u>) or ‘non-designated’ (<u>local</u>) and both are important to consider through the planning process.</p> | <p>Make clear that within the District there are both statutory (designated) and local (non-designated) heritage assets.</p> <p>Provide clarity on classification of heritage assets.</p> |
| SM21 | 7 | Para 7.9 | <p>Delete first sentence of paragraph: The historic environment is recognised and conserved through local and statutory designations.</p> <p>Amend second sentence of paragraph: <u>Of designated assets, the</u> The District is currently home to around 1,500 Listed Buildings, 27 Conservation Areas, 178 Archaeological Notice Areas, 29 Scheduled Monuments and a number of Registered Parks and Gardens.</p> | <p>First sentence relates to paragraph 7.8 rather than 7.9.</p> <p>Provide clarity that heritage assets listed are classified as designated heritage assets.</p> |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---|--|---|
| | | | | To be read alongside Mod Ref HM026. |
| HM026 | 7 | 7.9 | 27 <u>29</u> Conservation Areas | Factual update - two Conservation Area's have recently been designated. |
| HM027 | 7 | Strategic Policy 19: Development Quality | Criterion 8 – Amend to read as follows: “If located within, or <u>affecting the setting of</u> , the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, proposals for housing should have regard to the High Weald Housing Design Guide.” | To clarify the requirement to consider the setting of the AONB. |
| SM22 | 7 | Para 7.10 | Amend text first sentence of paragraph: Local heritage (<u>non-designated</u>) is recognised through designated Sites of Archaeological Interest, “Locally Listed” buildings as well as similar assets which come to light during the course of development. | Make clear that local heritage is classified as non-designated asset. |
| SM23 | 7 | Policy 21 | Amend policy title: <u>Strategic</u> Policy 21: Heritage Assets and Managing Change within the Historic Environment | Correction to reflect that this is a strategic policy as per the policy title. |
| SM24 | 7 | Policy 21 | Amend second sentence of criterion 1: Applications for such development will only be supported if they <u>must</u> : | Make policy more effective in terms of NPPF test of soundness. |
| SM25 | 7 | Policy 21 | Amend first sentence of criterion 1. f): Demonstrate that any proposal in the vicinity <u>within the setting</u> of a heritage asset with, or has the potential to include, archaeological interest is accompanied by appropriate archaeological research, including the investigation, recording and reporting of both above and below-ground archaeology. | Make policy more effective in terms of NPPF test of soundness. |
| SM26 | 7 | Policy 21 | Amend criterion 2: Proposals which affect a heritage asset, or the setting of a heritage asset, will only be supported where <u>must be</u> accompanied by a Heritage Statement. | Make policy more effective in terms of NPPF test of soundness. |
| SM27 | 7 | New paragraph (after para 7.13) | After para 7.13 insert new paragraph numbered 7.14 <u>The Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) (England) Regulations 2007 sets out that the Council may exercise</u> | Clarification of legal basis for the policy and the consideration of amenity and public safety. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <p><u>its powers in the control of advertisements in the interest of amenity and public safety only. The term 'amenity' includes the general characteristics of the locality, including the presence of any feature of historic, architectural, cultural or similar interest. The term 'public safety' refers to the potential impact on the safety of persons using any thoroughfare. This policy sets out criteria against which proposals seeking express advertisement consent will be assessed in respect of amenity and public safety.</u></p> <p>Renumber existing paragraph 7.14 to 7.15</p> | |
| HM028 | 8 | Para 8.5 | <p>It will be essential for infrastructure to be provided in a timely way that meets the needs of the new development as it comes forward. Studies to determine whether the proposed development will lead to overloading of existing infrastructure may be required to support planning applications. <u>Specific identified requirements are set out in the relevant site allocation policies.</u> It will also be necessary to understand from key infrastructure providers the timing and delivery of any key new upgrades that may be necessary, such as road upgrades or new water treatment facilities, and the level of development that can come forward prior to the completion of these upgrades, as this may impact on the speed at which the new development can come forward. <u>Developers will be required to demonstrate that infrastructure capacity and required upgrades can be delivered in accordance with an agreed phasing scheme for multi-stage developments.</u></p> | To provide context for requirement for some sites to prepare Phasing and Implementation Strategy. |
| HM029 | 8 | Para 8.6 | <p>In order to address wastewater treatment <u>assess available waste water network</u> capacity, developers are encouraged to contact the water/wastewater infrastructure provider as early as possible to discuss their development proposals and intended delivery programme to assist with identifying any potential water and wastewater reinforcement requirements. Where there is a capacity constraint, the Local Planning Authority will, where appropriate, apply phasing conditions to any approval to ensure that any necessary infrastructure upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of the relevant phase of development.</p> | Factual update to use correct terminology |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---|--|--|
| HM030 | 8 | Strategic Policy 23: Infrastructure | Add sentence to para 8.5: <u>“Where the need for improved infrastructure is identified, this should be based on up-to-date evidence which demonstrates how improvements are responsive to changing circumstances, and contribute to sustainability objectives, and sustain the high quality of life as part of the "monitor and manage" approach.”</u> | To ensure flexibility and deliverability of required infrastructure in accordance with identified needs. |
| HM031 | 8 | Strategic Policy 23: Infrastructure Provision | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The release of land for development will be dependent on there being sufficient capacity in the existing local infrastructure to meet the additional requirements arising from new development, or suitable necessary mitigation arrangements for the improvement of the infrastructure, services and community facilities caused by the development being provided. 2. Where there is a need for extra capacity, this will need to be provided in time to serve the development or the relevant phase of the development, in order to ensure that the environment and amenities of existing or new local residents is not adversely affected. <u>Where distinct development phases are expected, a Phasing and Implementation Strategy will be required at outline, hybrid or full planning application stage, which demonstrates what infrastructure enhancements will be delivered, and at what stage in the development.</u> | To ensure infrastructure upgrades will be in place, or secured, to align with the development. |
| HM032 | 8 | Para 8.11 | Add new sentence to end of paragraph: For developments that generate significant amounts of movement, interventions should be documented in a Transport Assessment or Statement submitted in support of the application, and a Travel Plan produced to ensure measures are implemented and sustained. These must prioritise active travel, and ensure that all new development is well-connected to wider cycling and walking networks, including appropriate contributions to support off-site improvements. Impacts on the wider strategic road network may also need to be considered. <u>The transport assessment or statement and/or in the Travel Plan must include a monitoring framework and review mechanism to ensure the ongoing effectiveness of measures proposed.</u> | To ensure delivery of proposed mitigation measures. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| HM033 | 8 | Para 8.13 | Add text to last sentence before first bullet point: These will be facilitated primarily by the development of strategic sites, and supported as necessary through further developer funding, <u>which will derive in full or in part from the Community Infrastructure Levy:</u> ... | To clarify the main mechanism for doing so, which is consistent with Strategic Policy 23: Infrastructure Provision and supporting para 8.4. |
| HM034 | 8 | Para 8.13 (last bullet) | Amend as follows: "Upgrade to Hop Oast Roundabout (A24/B2237) to introduce traffic signals and change the layout <u>to enable priority for buses.</u> " | To enable bus prioritisation subject to evidence of this being the best solution. |
| HM035 | 8 | Para 8,13 (additional bullet) | Add a new bullet point to the list of proposed strategic junction improvements: " <u>Upgrade to Great Daux Roundabout to include traffic signals and additional lanes</u> " | Evidence update has shown that the improvement is also necessary to mitigate the new Local Plan as well as the existing North Horsham development. Hence reference added for completeness. |
| HM036 | 8 | Para 8.14 | Additional sentence as follows: The Council has worked with partners to produce a Horsham Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP). This sets out cycling and walking network plans within a 5km radius of Horsham town centre, and a prioritised programme of improvements for future investment. Strategic Policy 24 expects development to have regard to this programme, as well as to any future LCWIPs across the District, together with any relevant government guidance including LTN1/20 – Cycle Infrastructure design, or any future updates. <u>Where the LCWIP networks provide potential links to a development, the applicant should contribute to delivering or improving that part of the network.</u> | For clarification |
| HM037 | 8 | Policy 24 part 1.c | Addition to part 1(c) as follows: "Walking, and cycling and wheeling routes are <u>as appropriate provided or improved both on- and off-site, and designed to be...</u> " | For clarity. Reference to wheeling added for consistency with other Plan policies/text. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-------------|---------|---|--|--|
| HM038 | 8 | Policy 24 part 1.d | Change policy text as suggested: "Where feasible, provision is made for bus travel and infrastructure within the development <u>and where appropriate on routes connecting to the development</u> , to include as appropriate..." | To strengthen policy and provide certainty. |
| HM039 | 8 | Para 8.18 | Change wording: "It is critical that the needs of disabled drivers <u>and passengers</u> , and users of mobility scooters, are accommodated." | For clarity |
| HM040 | 8 | Para 8.19 | Add sentence at end of paragraph: " <u>Applicants should refer to any relevant advice that may be published on this matter including by HDC and WSCC.</u> " | For clarity and consistency |
| HM041 | 8 | Para 8.20 | Amend last sentence: Locations within the airport boundary will remain the most sustainable places for airport parking, and in general additional off airport facilities will not be considered acceptable. | To ensure consistency with part 9 of Policy 25: Parking. |
| SM28 | 8 | Para 8.26 | Amend second sentence: The northern and eastern parts of the District, including the whole of Horsham Town , whole of the District lies within the safeguarded area, although it should be noted that the safeguarded area is neither the responsibility nor the proposal of the Council. | Factual update to reflect updated safeguarding zone, which has been extended and now includes the whole of Horsham District. |
| HM042 | 8 | Policy 26: Gatwick Airport Safeguarding | 2. Minor development within this area, such as changes of use and small-scale building works such as residential extensions, will normally be acceptable. <u>Improvements to existing employment buildings, including small scale extensions and refurbishment, will normally be acceptable provided they will not lead to a significant intensification or increase in the scale of development.</u> Where appropriate, planning permission may be granted on a temporary basis. The airport operator will be consulted on all planning applications within the safeguarded area. | Changes made to reflect wording in CBC's Main Mods local plan required for consistency (GAT2). Rewording agreed with airport and requested by CBC Local Plan inspectors for soundness. |
| SM29 | 8 | Para 8.45 | Amend second sentence: The Community Facilities Study 2020 <u>2021</u> sets the review and recommendations in respect of community rooms and halls... | Correction of factual error to ensure correct date referenced. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---|--|--|
| HM043 | 8 | Policy 28: Community Facilities and Uses | Amend criterion 3.b) to read as follows: "3.b) <u>an assessment has been undertaken which clearly shows any open space to be lost is surplus to requirements and evidence is provided that demonstrates the continued use of the site as a community facility or service is no longer feasible, taking into account factors such as; appropriate active marketing, the demand for a community use within the site or premises, the quality, usability, viability and the identification of a potential future occupier.</u> | To reflect the requirements of paragraph 99 of the NPPF (Sept 2023) |
| SM30 | 9 | Policy 29 Table 6 | Amend Site EM2, second column, final sentence: Appropriate regard must be given to the nearby waste facilities and comply with Policy W2 of the <u>West Sussex</u> Waste Local Plan. | Correction of factual errors to ensure correct policy and document title. |
| SM31 | 9 | Policy 30 | Amend Criterion 1: Proposals for the upgrading, and refurbishment and intensification of existing offices, industrial / business estates, premises and sites will be supported provided that: a) they enable the facility to meet modern business standards and demonstrably enhance the attractiveness of the District as a business location; b) they mitigate existing amenity, highway and access <u>appropriately resolve any issues arising from badly sited uses; and</u> c) potential new impacts on amenity and on the road network are mitigated <u>any adverse impacts are appropriately addressed.</u> | Correction and clarification in respect of the type of 'development' that is covered by criterion 1 versus criterion 2 and the appropriate policy considerations. (Proposed as part of the Council's response to Matter 7, Issue 1, Question 2b-d) |
| SM32 | 9 | Policy 30 | Amend first sentence Criterion 2: The redevelopment, regeneration, intensification and expansion of existing employment premises and sites for employment uses will be supported where it facilitates the retention of existing businesses within the District, and / or protects or creates new jobs, including green industries and social enterprises. | Correction and clarification in respect of the type of 'development' is covered by criterion 1 versus criterion 2. (Proposed as part of the Council's response to Matter 7, Issue 1, Question 2b) |
| HM044 | 9 | Policy 32: Conversion of Agricultural and | Amend policy title: Conversion of Agricultural and Rural Buildings to Commercial, Community & Residential Uses | Factual update and for clarification given the policy wording only relates to conversion to residential |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|--|---------|---|---|---|
| | | Rural Buildings to Commercial, Community & Residential Uses | | use and Policy 31 addresses conversion of rural buildings to economic / enterprise uses. |
| SM33 | 9 | Para 9.57 | <p>Add new sentences at end of paragraph</p> <p>The policy seeks to promote a concentrated retail function with Primary Frontages balanced with flexibility within the wider Main Shopping Area, as well as protecting the amenity of residents, occupiers and other users. <u>To do this effectively, the policy makes reference to three defined areas:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Town and village centres, which incorporate the geographical area where Town Centre First principles will apply</u> • <u>Main Shopping Areas, a smaller geographical area within town and village centre boundaries where main town centres uses (as defined in the NPPF, and the Glossary of this document) will be favoured, and</u> <p><u>Primary Frontages, usually within main shopping areas themselves, although some existing primary frontages do sit just outside, where Class E uses are favoured, but where other uses will be acceptable subject to evidence of marketing of the premises to evidence that the current use is no longer of commercial interest.</u></p> | This is in response to MIQs (Matter 7, Issue 2, Question 6) and helps clarify the geographical areas defined in the Policies Map and referred to in Policies 35 and 36, and how they relate to one another. |
| SM34 | 9 | Policy 36 | <p>Amend point 4 of the policy:</p> <p>4. Where units <u>a town centre use</u> within a unit in a Main Shopping Area are <u>is</u> demonstrated to be unviable in their <u>its</u> current form these should be retained <u>applications for other for Class E uses will be supported.</u> in the first instance.</p> | Rewording to make clear this applies to existing non-residential uses in main shopping areas and that Class E uses will be treated favourably. |
| HM045 Superseded by mod reference SM35 | | | | |
| SM35 | 10 | Para 10.8 | <p>Amend paragraph:</p> <p>Crawley Borough Council <u>has recently adopted its Local Plan which covers the period 2023 to 2040.</u> is also in the process of undertaking a local plan review, and the Plan at the time of writing has yet to be</p> | Factual Update to proposed modification HM045 to reflect that CBC Local Plan is now adopted. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|--|---|
| | | | adopted. Current indications are that The plan sets out that Crawley can meet almost half of its needs in the plan period, with a total of 7,050-7,505 dwellings (414dpa-441dpa) to be accommodated within the wider housing market area, insofar as is consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework and delivery of sustainable development (including the issue of water neutrality). | N.B. This supersedes mod reference HM045. |
| SM36 | 10 | Para 10.21 | Amend second sentence: The Council's evidence base has identified a total of 43,242 <u>13,529</u> homes which are considered to be deliverable in the Plan period. Taking account of the need for flexibility of supply, this equates to an annualised target of 777 <u>787</u> homes each year (and includes a 40% <u>20%</u> buffer for the first five years of the Plan period <u>after adoption 2025/26 -2029/30</u>). | Factual update to the supply figures and buffer, which takes account of completions for 2023/24, and additional permissions granted up to November 2024. |
| SM37 | 10 | Para 10.22 | Amend para 10.22: "Within the Plan period. Some 6,747 <u>7,306</u> homes already have planning permission or have been allocated in a "made" Neighbourhood Plan. It is also expected that a small amount of windfall development will take place in years 4-17 (<u>2028/29-2039/40</u>) of the Plan period (approximately 120 dwellings per annum). | Factual update on commitments in the Plan period and clarification on which years the windfall allowance applies to. |
| SM38 | 10 | Para 10.23 | Amend first sentence: "Many of the 43,242-43,13,529 homes identified for development includes strategic allocations identified in previous Local Plans, including Land at Kilnwood Vale, Land West of Southwater, and Land North of Horsham, where development is ongoing. | Factual update on new supply figure for the Plan period which takes account of completions for 2023/24, and additional permissions granted up to November 2024. |
| HM046 | 10 | Para 10.25 | ...Overall, it is considered that there is potential for an additional 500 homes, which would include Land at Cuckmere Farm that falls within the saved Horsham District Planning Framework allocation but outside of the extant outline application 'red line' boundary. Provision for these additional 500 homes is set out in Policy 37 below for completeness. This brings the total number of homes to be built on the site to around 3,250. It is however expected that only 2,000 of these new homes will have been built by the end of the Plan period. | For clarification regarding the intensification of "Land North of Horsham" |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---|---|
| SM39 | 10 | Para 10.27 | <p>Amend final sentence: A stepped trajectory is therefore proposed with a housing target of 480 homes in the first five years of the Plan, with a target of 904 homes per year for the remaining 12 years of the Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>480 dwellings per year for Years 2023/24 and 2024/25</u> • <u>543 dwellings per year for Years 2025/26 – 2029/30 (Years 1-5 after Adoption)</u> <p><u>970 dwellings per year for Years 2030/31- 2039/40 (Years 6-15 after adoption).</u></p> | Update to reflect the new proposed stepped housing targets for the Plan period 2023-2040 taking account of revised housing trajectory set out in HDC03. |
| SM40 | 10 | Figure 6 | Insertion of Revised Trajectory is included in Response to Q2, Matter 8 Issue 2 Figure 1 Housing Trajectory 2023/24 – 2039/40 and inclusion of expected housing completions in each year on the graph | Factual update to Housing Trajectory to reflect 23/24 completion figures and new permissions granted up until November 2024. |
| HM047 | 10 | Strategic Policy 37 | <p>1. Homes that are already permitted or have been allocated in a “made” Neighbourhood Plan, including previously allocated strategic sites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At least 2,000 at Land North of Horsham • At least 1,400 at Kilnwood Vale • At least 275 at Land West of Southwater <p>2. New Strategic Sites</p> <p>a) At least 1,600 homes on Land West of Ifield (from an allocation of 3,000 dwellings homes)</p> <p>b) At least 735 homes on Land North West of Southwater (from an allocation of 1,000 dwellings homes)</p> <p>c) At least <u>The entire allocation of</u> 650 homes on Land East of Billingshurst (from an allocation of 650 dwellings)</p> <p>4. An additional 60 dwellings from intensification of the Land North of Horsham allocation (Horsham District Planning Framework, November 2015). This intensification totals 500 dwellings and includes Cuckmere Farm and</p> <p>4 <u>Land North of Horsham</u></p> | <p>For clarification regarding the intensification of “Land North of Horsham”</p> <p>And consistency between homes/dwellings</p> <p>Evidence indicates that HDC cannot deliver 10% of allocations on sites below 1ha.</p> |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>a) <u>as identified in Horsham District Development Framework (2015) saved policies SD1 to SD9 inclusive and the “Land North of Horsham Concept Masterplan map” is expected to deliver around 3,250 homes in total.</u></p> <p>b) <u>of this total, around 2,000 homes will be delivered within the Plan period, and</u></p> <p>c) <u>within the 2,000 homes, land at Cuckmere Farm will deliver 60 homes within the Plan period.</u></p> <p>5. Approximately 1,680 windfall <u>homes units, including 10% provision on land less than 1 hectare.</u></p> | |
| SM41 | 10 | Policy 37 | <p>Indicative update to Strategic Policy 37 to read:</p> <p>Provision is made for the development of at least 13,212 <u>13,529</u> homes, and associated infrastructure within the period 2023-2040 at an average delivery rate of 777 <u>787</u> homes per year⁹</p> <p>The minimum requirement will be split into an annual average requirement on a stepped basis as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Years 1-5 (2023/2024-2027/2028) 480 dwellings per annum • Years 6-17 (2028/2029-2039/2040) 901 dwellings per annum <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>480 dwellings per year for Years 2023/24 and 2024/25</u> • <u>543 dwellings per year for Years 2025/26 – 2029/30 (Years 1-5 after Adoption)</u> • <u>970 dwellings per year for Years 2030/31- 2039/40 (Years 6-15 after adoption).</u> <p>1. New Strategic Sites:</p> <p>a) At least 4,600 <u>1,790</u> homes on Land West of Ifield (from an allocation of 3,000 dwellings)</p> | Indicative updates to housing trajectory to reflect 23/24 completions and new permissions granted up until November 2024 as set out in HDC03. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <p>b) At least 735 <u>825</u> homes on Land North West of Southwater (from an allocation of 1,000 dwellings)</p> <p>5. Approximately 4,680 <u>1,440</u> windfall units...</p> <p>6. After the supply is deducted from the identified need of 15,487 over the 17 year Plan period of 2023/24-2039/40, there will be a remaining unmet need of 2,275 <u>1,958</u> dwellings. Horsham Council will work with its neighbouring authorities, particularly those from within the Northern West Sussex Housing Market Area, in exploring opportunities and resolving infrastructure and environmental constraints to meet this need in sustainable locations.</p> | |
| SM42 | 10 | Policy 37 Footnote 9 | ⁹ This includes an additional 40% <u>20%</u> buffer within Years 1-5 <u>after adoption</u> , as required by paragraph 74 of the National Planning Policy Framework (September 2023). | To reflect housing buffer requirements, based on housing completions and delivery test data set out in HDC03 |
| HM048 | 10 | Strategic Policy 37 | <p>After para 27 add a new para 10.28</p> <p><u>"The NPPF states (paragraph 69, September 2023) that local authorities should identify through the development plan and brownfield registers, land to accommodate at least 10% of their housing requirement on sites no larger than 1 hectare, unless it can be shown that there are strong reasons why this 10% target cannot be achieved. Due to the nature of development in this rural District (with delivery heavily reliant on a small number of large sites), this figure cannot be reached. However, sites smaller than 1ha will be considered positively where they meet all other development criteria in this plan.</u></p> | Evidence indicates that HDC cannot deliver 10% of allocations on sites below 1ha. |
| HM049 | 10 | Para 10.36 | Title should read: <u>Strategic Policy 39: Affordable Housing</u> | Clarification to reflect status as a strategic policy – as set out on page 139 |
| SM43 | 10 | Policy 39 | Amend criteria 1d to read: "d) On sites providing continuing care retirement housing, retirement housing and other specialist care housing (<u>except for extra-care</u> | To reflect new evidence that affordable housing cannot be viably provided on extra-care housing. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | | housing), a minimum 30% of the total (gross*) as applies in accordance with Policy 42;” | |
| SM44 | 10 | Policy 42 | Amend criteria 1b(i) and (ii): “i. For the retirement homes and extra-care housing element , the proposal will provide C3 self-contained affordable homes suited to specialist older people’s needs; and ii. For elements of the proposal delivering self-contained units as part of a residential care scheme (C2 use class) <u>except any element classed as extra-care housing</u> , the proposal will provide specialist on-site affordable housing tailored for occupation by C2 residents; and” | To reflect new evidence that affordable housing cannot be viably provided on extra-care housing. |
| SM45 | 10 | Para 10.63 | Amend paragraph: The main planning policy document relating to GTTS is the ‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites’ document (PPTS) that was first published by the Government in December 2023 March 2012 and updated in August 2015 . This document provides a definition of both ‘Gypsies and Travellers’ and ‘Travelling Showpeople’ for the purposes of planning policy. Horsham District Council has therefore used these definitions for the purposes of this document. Gypsies and Travellers: “Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family’s or dependents’ educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently, but excluding members of an organised group of Travelling Showpeople or circus people travelling together as such”; Travelling Showpeople: “Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependants’ more localised pattern of trading, educational | Factual update to reflect new version of PPTS published December 2023 and deletion of definition already included within PPTS. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|---------------|----|----|---|---------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|-----------|---|
| | | | or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above." | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM46 | 10 | Para 10.65 | Amend first sentence: In total, a need for 77 <u>79</u> pitches for Gypsy & Traveller households in Horsham District has been identified over the period 2023-2040 <u>for those gypsies and travellers meeting the 2023 planning definition, and for which it will be necessary to identify land in the Local Plan to meet this need.</u> | Factual updates to reflect new version of PPTS December 2023 and provide clarification on Gypsy & Traveller Supply position. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM47 | 10 | Table 10 | Amend table 10: Table 10: Gypsy & Traveller Need requirements <u>for those that met the 2023 Planning Definition by Year periods</u> <table border="1" data-bbox="763 719 1576 975"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Years</th> <th>1-5</th> <th>6-10</th> <th>11-15</th> <th>16-17</th> <th rowspan="2">Total</th> </tr> <tr> <th>2023-28</th> <th>2028-33</th> <th>2033-38</th> <th>2028-40</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>48</td> <td>10</td> <td>12</td> <td>7</td> <td>77</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><u>50</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u>79</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Years | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-17 | Total | 2023-28 | 2028-33 | 2033-38 | 2028-40 | | 48 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 77 | | <u>50</u> | | | | <u>79</u> | Factual update to reflect need position following GTAA Update (H06) |
| Years | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | | 16-17 | Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2023-28 | 2028-33 | 2033-38 | 2028-40 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 48 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 77 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>50</u> | | | | <u>79</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM48 | 10 | Paragraph 10.68 | The Gypsy & Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Needs Assessment identified a need for 34 <u>29</u> additional pitches for households that did not meet the revised planning definition for Gypsies and Travellers. <u>2023 PPTS planning definition.</u> | Factual update to reflect need position following GTAA Update (H06) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SM49 | 10 | New paragraph | After paragraph 10.68 insert: <u>The Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment Update identifies the potential need for permanent pitches and plots as follows:</u> | Factual update to reflect need position following GTAA Update (H06) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--|--|---|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>50 additional permanent residential gypsy and traveller pitches (for those who meet the planning definition) in years 1-5 (2023-2028)</u> • <u>10 additional permanent residential gypsy and traveller pitches (for those who meet the planning definition) in years 6-10 (2028-2033)</u> • <u>1 additional plot for travelling showpeople</u> <p>Consequential update to paragraph numbering in rest of chapter</p> | |
| SM50 | 10 | Strategic Policy 43 "Gypsies and Travellers" | <p>Change to Table 11 - indicative update as follows:</p> <p>Replace Table 11 with updated table set out in Appendix 2</p> | Factual update to reflect need position following GTAA Update (H06) |
| HM050 | 10 | Strategic Policy 43 | 2 b) the site has safe and convenient access to the highway and public transport services <u>for all users, including pedestrians and cyclists.</u> | For clarity |
| HM051 | 10 | Para 10.80 | Amend last sentence to read: "... the <u>West Sussex Joint Minerals Local Plan (JMLP), 2018 (Partial Review 2021)</u> ..." | To correct factual error in title name |
| HM052 | 10 | HA1 | <p>3. Development shall be designed and laid out, incorporating character areas exhibiting a range of appropriate densities and building heights and typologies, to create a strong sense of place which respects the existing settlement and enhances the landscape qualities of the area, and provide Green Infrastructure <u>green and blue infrastructure</u> within the site that provides functional links beyond the site, and contributes to the wider nature recovery network.</p> <p>4. Strategic Scale development must also be designed to minimise water consumption, and contribute to water neutrality <u>and not result in an increase in flood risk on the site or elsewhere.</u></p> | To clarify that that opportunities to enhance and consider the water environment are reflected, and the need to ensure that development proposals consider on and off site impacts on flood risk. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---|---|
| HM053 | 10 | HA1 (new criterion) | Insert new criterion 10 and renumber later criteria: <u>“10. A Phasing and Implementation Strategy will be required at outline, hybrid or full planning application stage, to set out development phase timescales, which demonstrates what infrastructure enhancements will be delivered (both on- and off-site), and at what stage in the development.”</u> | To ensure infrastructure upgrades will be in place, or secured, to align with the development. |
| HM054 | 10 | Para 10.86 | The new communities will demonstrate excellence with regards energy efficiency and sustainability, water use efficiency, active and sustainable transport, high quality urban design and effective use of land, green <u>and blue</u> infrastructure connectivity and biodiversity net gain. | To clarify that that opportunities to enhance and consider the water environment are reflected, |
| HM055 | 10 | Para 10.88 | Amend to read: “Development in this location will also need to ensure infrastructure impacts in and around the town <u>Crawley</u> are considered cumulatively, taking account of development within and around the town <u>Crawley Borough</u> .” | Factual correction |
| HM056 | 10 | Para 10.90 | Amend last sentence: “Given the particular housing needs of the area, as evidenced in the emerging Crawley Local Plan <u>Crawley Borough Local Plan 2023-2040</u> ,...” | Factual update |
| HM057 | 10 | Para 10.91 | Any development in this location must respect the rural and natural environment and local heritage and be brought forward in a sensitive way which generates net biodiversity gain, effectively mitigates any adverse impacts on protected species such as bats and delivers green <u>and blue</u> infrastructure that is functionally linked to the surrounding environment. | To clarify that that opportunities to enhance and consider the water environment are reflected. |
| HM058 | 10 | Para 10.94 | A comprehensive masterplan (<u>see illustrative Figure 7</u>) has been developed to ensure that issues such as flood risk, biodiversity net gain, carbon neutrality, air quality and noise impact and water neutrality are comprehensively addressed ahead of any development taking place. <u>This is not intended to be ‘fixed’ and is</u> | To reflect the potential for the masterplan to be updated in response to evolving evidence on matters such as the Bechstein’s |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <u>expected to evolve as understanding of environmental constraints and infrastructure needs are refined, to ensure that impacts are mitigated.</u> The masterplan will also ensure that the development is landscape-led, includes a Gypsy and Traveller residential site, and provides excellent green <u>and blue</u> infrastructure and recreational links (including via public rights of way) to the wider countryside. | bats population (NE) and educational provision (WSCC). To clarify that that opportunities to enhance and consider the water environment are reflected. To be read alongside mod reference SM51. |
| SM51 | 10 | 10.94 | Amend First Sentence “An <u>comprehensive illustrative</u> masterplan has been developed...” | To clarify that the illustrative masterplan in Figure 7 is being referred to (as distinct to a comprehensive masterplan to be prepared by the applicant). To be read alongside mod reference HM058. |
| HM059 | 10 | Para 10.95 | Add text to first sentence: Evidence from strategic transport modelling shows that to deliver the Land West of Ifield, significant mitigation will be necessary to mitigate the impacts on the local road network, <u>potentially including roads in Crawley Borough, in Surrey, and in Horsham District itself.</u> | To clarify that mitigation may be needed in all of these administrative areas, given the proximity of the site to administrative boundaries. |
| HM060 | 10 | 10.97 | Amend first sentence: “It is recognised that a proposal for 3000 homes is unlikely to be able to deliver a full Crawley Western multi-modal corridor that connects the A264 at <u>near</u> Faygate to the A23 south of Gatwick Airport, north of County Oak.” Amend last sentence: Land An <u>area of search</u> is therefore safeguarded <u>identified</u> in the plan to allow for the delivery of such a road. An indicative safeguarded area of search for the full corridor is shown on the Policies Map. | The precise location of the connection point to the A264 is not firmly established, so flexibility is required in wording. ‘safeguarding’ may be considered too prescriptive when what is meant is to identify an area of search. |
| HM061 | 10 | Para 10.98 | Amend text: | Factual correction |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---|---|---|
| | | | “It is recognised that development of new homes and associated infrastructure in this area will have an impact on traffic movement both within Rusper Parish and Crawley town <u>Borough.</u> ” | |
| HM062 | 10 | HA1 | Update final sentence in point 4 as follows “ Strategic scale development must also be designed to minimise water consumption, contribute to water neutrality <u>and not result in an increase in flood risk on the site or elsewhere</u> ” | To ensure that on and off site impacts of flooding are considered as part of any proposal. |
| HM063 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield -part 2.a | Amend/add to text: “Approximately 3,000 homes (C2 and C3 Use Class), a minimum 40% of which will be affordable homes, together with <u>and to include</u> provision for young families, older people, land for Community Land Trust (or similar community led scheme) housing and, together with the provision of a permanent Gypsy and Traveller site of 15 pitches. <u>A blended mix of housing sizes will apply, to take into account both Horsham’s and Crawley’s strategic mixes for affordable and market housing, as expressed in respective local plans.</u> ” | Clarification around types of provision and of approach to reflect needs of both HDC and CBC. |
| HM064 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield -part 2.b | Add to text: “Approximately 3,300 sqm of retail space to cater for day-to-day shopping and service needs delivered as part of the Neighbourhood Centre and/or secondary neighbourhood centre and a medium size food store, <u>subject to a retail impact assessment with account taken of existing Crawley retail centres.</u> ” | To ensure no unintended impacts on the viability or success of existing retail in Crawley borough. |
| SM52 | 10 | Policy HA2 | Amend criterion 2(d)iii to read: provision for improved home working facilities and <u>flexible desk space units</u> within the development. | To clarify that flexible desk space forms part of the 2.0 hectares of employment floorspace required by the policy, whereas home working facilities are not part of the 2.0 hectares. |
| HM065 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield | Change text: e) Land and contributions to meet the education provision standards advised by the Local Education Authority, (or any future updates <u>based on refinement of needs evidence</u>) as follows: | To reflect WSCC evidence that the provision of two primary schools, and also the provision of a 10-form entry secondary school, may be |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-------------|---------|---|---|--|
| | | -part 2.e.i and part 2.e.ii | i. two <u>one</u> 2-form entry primary school <u>and one 2-form entry expandable to 3-form entry primary school, both</u> to incorporate support centres for special educational needs,” ii. an 8-form entry <u>expandable to 10-form entry</u> secondary school, to incorporate...” | necessary in the longer term depending on precise mix of housing types and changing demographics. |
| HM066 | 10 | | Add a new sub-criteria ‘vi’ at end of part g: “...Informal open space provision must be designed for all and shall include (but not be limited to): ... <u>vi. community allotments.”</u> | For clarity, reflecting the emerging proposals include allotments. |
| HM067 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield -part 8.e | Amend as follows: “A comprehensive Travel Plan and Construction Travel Plan to be agreed by the Council and Local Highway Authority is submitted, to cover the entire construction period, which demonstrate the long-term embedment of the transport strategy, <u>and which must include a monitoring framework and review mechanism to ensure the ongoing effectiveness of measures proposed.”</u> | To ensure the strategic site allocation is comprehensive and clear on expectations with regards travel plan. |
| HM068 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield -part 8.g | Amend text: Formal and informal open space, sport and recreation provision is provided to meet the needs of the new community in accordance with standards and the respective recommendations in the Playing Pitch Strategy and Open Space, Sport & Recreation Review 2021 <u>(or any subsequent updates).</u> | To reflect the potential for future updates to the evidence base over the lifetime of the scheme. |
| HM069 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield -part 9 | Amend as follows: “No development shall occur within an safeguarded area of search as shown on the Policies Map that may prejudice a full Crawley Western multi-modal corridor...” | ‘safeguarding’ is considered too prescriptive – clarify when what is meant is to identify an area of search. |
| SM53 | 10 | 10.108 | Amend to read: An comprehensive illustrative masterplan has been developed... | To clarify that the illustrative masterplan in Figure 8 is being |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | | referred to (as distinct to a comprehensive masterplan to be prepared by the applicant). |
| HM070 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3 "Land North West of Southwater" Background Text Para 10.100 | "The total additional growth over the wider period would therefore amount to <u>around</u> 1,000 homes" | Consistency with wording elsewhere in the plan |
| HM071 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3 "Land North West of Southwater" Background Text Para 10.101 | "This policy therefore allocates the land area for at least <u>around</u> 1,000 homes, of which 735 are expected to be delivered in the period to 2040." | Consistency with wording elsewhere in the plan |
| HM072 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3 "Land North West of Southwater" Background Text Para 10.108 | "A comprehensive masterplan (see <u>Illustrative Figure 8</u>) has been developed <u>will be agreed with the Council at the planning application stage</u> , to ensure that issues such as: biodiversity, net gain, heritage, carbon neutrality, air quality and noise impact and water neutrality are comprehensively addressed ahead of any development taking place". | To reflect the potential for the masterplan to be updated in response to evolving evidence |
| HM073 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3 "Land North West of Southwater" Part 1 | "is allocated for mixed-use strategic development and associated infrastructure for <u>around</u> 1,000 homes. of which it is anticipated 735 homes will be delivered in the Plan period. This delivery comprises <u>includes</u> 450 homes allocated in the Neighbourhood Plan". together with an additional 285 homes. | For clarity and to avoid duplication with SP37. |
| HM074 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3 "Land North West of | "Development will be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan <u>to be agreed with the Council at the planning application</u> | To reflect the potential for the masterplan to be updated in response to evolving evidence |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--|---|--|
| | | Southwater" Part 2 | <u>stage</u> , which clearly shows the key elements of development, a comprehensive site-wide Design Code, and a clear phasing plan and will provide the following:" | |
| HM075 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3 "Land North West of Southwater" Part 2b) | "A neighbourhood centre shall be provided, offering appropriate uses, including <u>such as</u> leisure, sports facilities, and retail whilst retaining Lintot Square as the primary centre of Southwater" | To reflect that there are a range of uses which may be suitable in the neighbourhood centre and ensure the policy is sufficiently flexible. |
| HM076 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3 "Land North West of Southwater" Part 2dii) | up to 6 form entry secondary school expandable to 8 forms of entry" | To reflect WSCC requirements that a secondary school smaller than 6 forms of entry would not be acceptable |
| HM077 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3:"Land North-West of Southwater" -part 2.e | "Formal and informal open space, sport and recreation provision to meet the needs of the new community in accordance with standards and the respective recommendations in the Playing Pitch Strategy Open Space, Sport & Recreation Review 2021 (<u>or any subsequent update</u>)." | To reflect the potential for future updates to the evidence base over the lifetime of the scheme. |
| HM078 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3: "Land North West of Southwater" -part 7.a | "...It should take account of the West Sussex County Council schemes from their "Walking and Cycling Strategy (2016-2026)" (<u>or any subsequent update</u>), including investigating direct and indirect walking and cycling routes connecting Horsham to Southwater." | To reflect the potential for future updates to the evidence base over the lifetime of the scheme. |
| HM079 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3 "Land North West of Southwater" - part 7b)ii) | "A new footway <u>and LTN1/20 compliant cycleway</u> will also be provided along Station Road at Christ's Hospital to complete the route and deter on-verge parking associated with the station, <u>subject to the availability of sufficient highway land</u> " | To ensure adequate facilities are provided for non-private car users and ensure policy is effective with regards to the availability of land for this purpose. |
| HM080 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3: "Land | "a new link road between the development and <u>Worthing Road Hop Oast roundabout</u> "; | To reflect WSCC feedback that new link road should not connect directly to Hop Oast roundabout. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|--|---|--|
| | | North West of Southwater” -part 7.c.i | | |
| HM081 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3: “Land North West of Southwater” -part 7.c.ii) | “full signalisation of the Hop Oast roundabout to allow safe crossing of pedestrians, cyclists, <u>and equestrians</u> north to Horsham...” | WSSC for clarification - Wording should be amended to include equestrian use |
| HM082 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3: “Land North West of Southwater” Party 7c) iii) | “ <u>At least</u> four new accesses to the development, in consultation with West Sussex County Council, in order to spread traffic evenly across the development”. | Clarification of minimum number of access points to the development |
| HM083 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3: “Land North West of Southwater” Party 7c) v) | “improvements for active and sustainable modes between <u>Worthing Road and Cedar Drive</u> roundabout and <u>to the new-primary and secondary school site.</u> ” | Clarify the nature and location of the proposed improvements |
| HM084 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3: “Land North West of Southwater” Party 7c) v)i | “The provision of a sustainable link for pedestrians and cyclists between Southwater Village and Horsham , including <u>a safe, convenient and comfortable</u> crossing of the <u>A24</u> . This may include <u>measures such as</u> a bridge, underpass, <u>or the signalisation of the Hop Oast junction,</u> signal-controlled toucan crossing close to Hop Oast roundabout -in consultation with, and as agreed by, West Sussex County Council”. | Increased flexibility to allow for more options that would deliver a safe, convenient and comfortable route for active travel. |
| HM085 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA3: Land North-west of Southwater -new criterion to follow part 7.c | “ <u>d) A comprehensive Travel Plan and Construction Travel Plan to be agreed with the Council and Local Highway Authority, to cover the entire construction period, which demonstrate the long-term embedment of the transport strategy, and which must include a monitoring framework and review mechanism to ensure the ongoing effectiveness of measures proposed.</u> ” | To ensure the strategic site allocation is comprehensive and clear on expectations with regards travel plan. |


| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-------------|---------|---|--|---|
| HM086 | 10 | Figure 8 | Figure 8 “Land North West of Southwater <u>Illustrative</u> Masterplan” | To reflect the potential for the masterplan to be updated in response to evolving evidence |
| HM087 | 10 | Para 10.116 | Amend text: “Policy HA4 requires submission of a comprehensive Travel Plan. It is especially important at this location to reduce reliance on combustion engine motor traffic due to the potential effects of nitrogen deposition <u>and ammonia</u> on the nearby Mens SAC. <u>The Travel Plan must include measures to adequately address the issues highlighted in the Habitats Regulations Assessment. Measures must include electrical charging points for all car parking spaces within the allocation area and could include (but are not limited to) provision of communal minibus and car club spaces; bicycle share club; high quality cycle infrastructure and parking and workplace shower facilities; onsite services and shop; Personalised Journey Planning for residents; workplace Travel Plans, and high-quality information for residents on sustainable travel options (including easy availability of bus timetables). If the transport assessment concludes that the development will increase the number of HGVs using the A272 at The Mens to or above 200 HGVs per day, measures should be taken to either reduce this to less than 200 or ensure Euro6 standard compliance”</u> | To ensure development is HRA compliant and the plan is legally sound. |
| HM088 | 10 | Para following 10.119 (currently un-numbered) | Amend text: “A comprehensive masterplan (<u>see illustrative</u> Figure 9) has been developed...” | To reflect the potential for the masterplan to be updated in response to evolving evidence To be read alongside mod reference SM54. |
| SM54 | 10 | Paragraph following 10.119 | Amend to read: Insert new paragraph number and An comprehensive <u>illustrative</u> masterplan has been developed | To clarify that the illustrative masterplan in Figure 9 is being referred to (as distinct to a comprehensive masterplan to be prepared by the applicant). |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-------------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | | To be read alongside mod reference HM088. |
| HM089 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA4: Land East of Billingshurst - criterion 2.e | Formal and informal open space, sport and recreation provision shall be provided to meet the needs of the new community in accordance with standards and the respective recommendations in the Playing Pitch Strategy and Open Space, Sport & Recreation Review 2021 (or any subsequent updates). | To reflect the potential for future updates to the evidence base over the lifetime of the scheme. |
| HM090 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA4: Land East of Billingshurst Criterion 6 | Proposals must be accompanied by a comprehensive transport strategy with development to include and legible layout which facilitates all modes of sustainable transport <u>including active travel</u> , and....” | To ensure development is HRA compliant and the plan is legally sound |
| HM091 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA4: Land East of Billingshurst - criterion 6.f | Amend final sentence as follows: “Provision must be phased in accordance with railway safety requirements, and where not provided from the outset designed and land safeguarded to enable ramped wheelchair accessible provision in due course, and facilitate the closure of the level crossing.” | To meet National Rail requirements in relation to public safety |
| HM092 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA4: Land East of Billingshurst - criterion 6.g | Amend as follows: “A comprehensive Travel Plan and Construction Travel Plan to be agreed by the Council and Local Highway Authority is submitted, to cover the entire construction period, which demonstrate the long-term embedment of the transport strategy, <u>and which must include a monitoring framework and review mechanism to ensure the ongoing effectiveness of measures proposed...</u> ” | To ensure the strategic site allocation is comprehensive and clear on expectations with regards travel plan. |
| HM093 | 10 | Strategic Policy HA4: Land East of Billingshurst - criterion 6.h | Insert an additional criterion to read as follows: “ <u>6. h) The improvement of shared/public transport services.</u> ” | To ensure development is HRA compliant and the plan is legally sound |
| HM094 | 10 | Para 10.125 | Amend last sentence to read: “... the <u>West Sussex Joint Minerals Local Plan (JMLP), 2018 (Partial Review 2021)</u> ...” | To provide correct reference as requested by WSCC. |
| SM55 | 10 | HA5 to HA21 inclusive | For each of the settlement allocation policies, delete references to ‘at least xx homes’ and replace with ‘ <u>approximately</u> xx homes’. | To make clear that the level of development proposed in each |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | policy is not exact, and that the same terminology is used for consistency across the plan as a whole. |
| HM095 | 10 | HA7 | d) Have regard in the design and setting of development to the areas of the site affected by Flood Zones 2 and 3 <u>by steering development to areas of lowest flood risk.</u> e) Have regard in the design and setting of the development to the high pressure gas pipeline to the east of the site; Renumber following paragraphs to f) and g) | To ensure adequate consideration of flood risk |
| HM096 Superseded by SM56. | 10 | HA11 | Insert new criterion b) <u>Development proposals within and adjacent to the High Weald National Landscape (AONB) must demonstrate how their development proposals conserve and enhance the natural beauty of this landscape. Proposals should have particular regard to the setting and views into and out of the National Landscape and all proposals must take account of the High Weald Management Plan.</u> | To ensure development takes account of the nearby High Weald National Landscape designation. |
| SM56 | 10 | HA11 | To delete suggested modification HM096 and replace with the following wording: b) To protect <u>preserve</u> and enhance the setting of the Grade II listed Holy Trinity e Church and War Memorial. c) <u>In the case of LWB1, development should be focussed to the southern part of the site with appropriate landscape mitigation to be implemented on the northern half of the site to aid a transition to the wider open countryside.</u> d) <u>Development proposals within and adjacent to the High Weald National Landscape (AONB) must demonstrate how their development proposals conserve and enhance the natural beauty of this landscape. Proposals should have particular regard to the setting and views into and out of the National Landscape and all proposals must take account of the High Weald Management Plan.</u> e) For LWB3, any proposal should positively contribute to the heritage qualities of Crabtree Conservation Area. | To correct drafting error when proposing HM096 and to separate distinct policy criteria, whilst ensuring that criterion b) is consistent with national policy. The change would impact on numbering of criteria. |
| HM097 | 10 | HA12 (PG1) | PG1 a) Are limited to the western <u>eastern</u> portion of the site and retain the mature boundaries surrounding the site. | To correct a factual error in drafting. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------|--|--|
| SM57 | 10 | HA13 | To correct a drafting error in HA13 criterion (3): Deliver publicly accessible open green space as part of any proposal. This will be in accordance with standards and the respective recommendations in the Open Space, Sport & Recreation Review 2020 <u>2021</u> , to meet identified local requirements. | Correction of factual error to ensure correct date is referenced for the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021). |
| HM098 | 10 | Para 10.149 | Amend first three lines of paragraph to read: "Rudgwick, <u>Cox Green</u> and Bucks Green are adjacent settlements located in Rudgwick Parish. Rudgwick village is the larger settlement lying either side of the B2128/Church Street, <u>with Cox Green adjoining to the north.</u> Rudgwick-Bucks Green is a largely linear settlement on the A281/Guildford Road. | Factual correction |
| HM099 | 10 | 10.150 | Amend second sentence of paragraph to read: "It did not make any housing allocations, but its policies apply to any applications in Rudgwick <u>Parish.</u> and Bucks Green " | Factual correction to reflect Neighbourhood Plan applies to entire Parish. |
| HM100 | 10 | Policy HA14 | Correct policy titles to read: "Strategic Policy HA14: <u>Rudgwick, Cox Green and Bucks Green</u> " | To reflect policy settlement hierarchy and policy map |
| HM101 | 10 | Policy HA15 | The following sites are allocated, as shown on the Policies Map, for the provision of at least: <u>22 32</u> homes: • RS1: Land at Rusper Glebe, 0.6 hectares (12 homes) • RS2: Land north of East Street, 0.9 hectares (20 homes) | To correct factual error |
| HM102 | 10 | HA17 (STE1) | Insert additional criterion d) <u>safeguard the amenity of local residents from odour and noise pollution from the Steyning Waste Water</u> | To ensure any proposal is compliant with Paragraph 180 (e) of the NPPF. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <u>Treatment Works and Kings Barn Waste Pumping Station. Proposals should be supported by an odour assessment report.</u> | |
| HM103 | 10 | HA18 (STO1) | Add new criterion 4: <u>The development is landscape-led supported by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment to inform site layout and capacity and safeguard any key views to and from the South Downs to avoid or minimise adverse impacts on the South Downs National Park.</u> | To reflect feedback and ensure that the proposals do not have adverse impacts on the SDNP. |
| HM104 | 10 | HA18 STO1 | Amend policy criterion c as follows: c) Have appropriate regard to the setting of West Wantley Farmhouse (Grade 2*), and East Wantley Farm (Grade II) and East Wantley Barn (Grade II). <u>An appropriate buffer should be provided on the northern section of the site to retain the setting of these properties.</u> | To reflect feedback raised as part of the consultation in relation to potential impacts on the listed building and ensure development respects the built heritage. |
| HM105 | 10 | 10.158 | Amend second sentence of paragraph and add new sentence to read: In recent times it has experienced growth, noticeably on the eastern side of the B2139, and is now identified as a medium village in the settlement hierarchy for its moderate range of services and facilities in the settlement. <u>It is classified as a smaller village in the settlement hierarchy owing to its limited range of services and facilities in the settlement.</u> | Correction to reflect the classification of Thakeham in the settlement hierarchy. |
| SM58 | 10 | HA20 | Change the numbering of the policy criteria to a) and b) rather than c) and d). | To correct numbering error. |
| | Illustrative Masterplans | | | |
| HM106 | 10 | Figure 7 (p193) | Amend title of figure: "Figure 7: Land West of Ifield <u>illustrative</u> masterplan" | For consistency with para 10.94 (to ensure flexibility and reflect that the masterplan may evolve) |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-----------|----------|---------------------------|--|---|
| HM107 | 10 | Figure 8 (p194) | Amend title of figure: "Figure 8: Land North West of Southwater <u>illustrative</u> masterplan" | For consistency with para 10.108 (to ensure flexibility and reflect that the masterplan may evolve) |
| SM59 | 10 | Figure 8 | Minor modification to the western boundary of the Land North West of Southwater Masterplan. At E -0.359669 N 51.034769  | To correct minor boundary error |
| HM108 | 10 | Figure 9 (p195) | Amend title of figure: "Figure 9: Land East of Billingshurst <u>illustrative</u> masterplan" | For consistency with para following 10.119 (currently un-numbered) (to ensure flexibility and reflect that the masterplan may evolve) |
| HM109 | Glossary | Biosolar roofs | New Terminology. Add wording: " Biosolar Roofs: <u>These combine and integrate green roofs and solar panels (an energy generating photovoltaic (PV) system). They seek to maximise both PV output and the footprint for the green roof and provide a mixture of sunny, shaded and sheltered areas which create a matrix of different habitats and water storing capabilities. They can be retrofitted on many existing flat roofs without the need for any structural modification to the building.</u> " | Definition or explanation of what is meant by using the term 'biosolar roofs' referred to in document text. |
| HM110 | Glossary | Blue infrastructure | New terminology. Add wording: " Blue Infrastructure: <u>this refers to water features, including ponds, lakes, streams, rivers and storm water provision swales, wetlands and canals and their banks. It is important to note that, as defined in the NPPF and below, the term 'green infrastructure' normally applies to all natural environmental features including blue infrastructure.</u> " | Definition or explanation of what is meant by using the term 'blue infrastructure' as referred to in document text |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-------------|----------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| HM111 | Glossary | Carbon neutral | Addition to text: Offsetting or compensating for carbon emissions (for example from burning fossil fuels) by schemes such as planting trees to absorb carbon or through careful use of design to promote energy efficiency and to avoid carbon emissions. (See Net Zero Carbon.) | Consequential change to signpost to new Glossary entry for Net Zero Carbon. |
| HM112 | Glossary | Comparison Retail | New terminology. Add wording: " <u>Comparison Retail: Sale of items which tend to be of higher value and are bought less frequently, and are generally compared in terms of price, quality, and attributes such as white goods and clothing. This is opposed to convenience goods, such as groceries.</u> " | Definition of what is meant by using the term 'Comparison Retail'. |
| SM60 | Glossary | Heritage Asset | Add text after Habitat Regulations assessment and before High Weald Joint Advisory Unit <u>Heritage asset: A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. It includes designated heritage assets and non-designated assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing). Within Horsham District, designated heritage assets include listed buildings, scheduled monuments, registered parks or gardens, and conservation areas. Non-designated heritage assets are buildings, monuments, sites, places, areas or landscapes identified by plan-making bodies as having a degree of heritage significance meriting consideration in planning decisions but which do not meet the criteria for designated heritage assets.</u> | Clarification on the meaning of 'heritage asset' |
| HM113 | Glossary | Local Economic Partnership (LEP) | Delete LEP definition from Glossary. | The Coast to Capital LEP (as with all other LEPs) ceased to exist in March 2024. |
| HM114 | Glossary | Net Zero Carbon | New terminology. Add wording: " <u>Net Zero Carbon: The Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019 commits the UK to carbon emissions reduction target of 100% by 2050 compared with a 1990 baseline. This is commonly referred to as Net Zero Carbon.</u> " | Definition of what is meant by using the term 'Net Zero'. |

| Reference | Chapter | Paragraph / Policy Number | Suggested Change | Reason |
|-------------|----------|---------------------------|---|--|
| HM116 | Glossary | Non Strategic Policy | New terminology. Add wording: “ Non Strategic Policy: <u>Non-strategic policies within the Local Plan set out detailed criteria for specific areas, neighbourhoods or types of development such as heritage, parking and shop fronts.</u> ” | Definition of what is meant when using the term ‘Policy’ |
| HM117 | Glossary | Strategic Policy | New terminology. Add wording “ Strategic Policy: <u>Strategic Policies address the Local Planning Authorities priorities for development and use of land for its area, setting out the overall strategy for the pattern, scale and design quality of places.</u> ” | Definition of what is meant when using the term ‘Strategic Policy’ |
| HM118 | Glossary | Waste Disposal Authority | Amend first sentence to read: “... Household Waste Recovery Centres <u>Recycling Sites.</u> ” | To insert correct term into definition. |
| SM61 | n/a | n/a | Addition of a Monitoring Framework to accompany the HDLP (See Appendix 1 of the response to Matter 10 Issue 1). | To provide a framework against which implementation of the Plan would be monitored in the Authority Monitoring Report. |

Appendix 1 – Proposed policy text for insertion as a new Appendix 1 of the Plan

| Horsham District Planning Framework 2015 Policies | Replaced by the HDLP ('not saved') or retained ('saved') | New Policy Numbers |
|---|--|---|
| Policy 1 – Sustainable Development | Not saved | Strategic Policy 1: Sustainable Development |
| Policy 2 – Strategic Development | Not saved | No like-for-like replacement as subsumed into various other policies. |
| Policy 3- Development Hierarchy | Not saved | Strategic Policy 2 – Development Hierarchy |
| Policy 4 – Settlement Expansion | Not saved | Strategic Policy 3 – Settlement Expansion |
| Policy 5 – Horsham Town | Not saved | Strategic Policy 4 – Horsham Town |
| Policy 6 – Broadridge Health Quadrant | Not saved | Strategic Policy 5 – Broadridge Health Quadrant |
| Policy 7 – Economic Growth | Not saved | Strategic Policy 29 – Enhancing Existing Employment |
| Policy 8 - University Quarter Mixed Use Development | Not saved | No like-for-like replacement – university not come forward. |
| Policy 9- Employment Development | Not saved | Strategic Policy 30 – Enhancing Existing Employment |
| Policy 10 - Rural Economic Development | Not saved | Policy 31 – Rural Economic Development |
| Policy 11 -Tourism and Cultural Facilities | Not saved | Strategic Policy 34: Tourism Facilities and Visitor Accommodation |
| Policy 12- Vitality and Viability of Existing Retail Centres | Not saved | Strategic Policy 35 – Town Centre Hierarchy and Sequential Approach |
| Policy 13: Town Centre Uses | Not saved | Strategic Policy 36 – Town Centre Uses |
| Policy 14 - Shop Fronts and Advertisements | Not saved | Policy 22 – Shop Fronts and Advertisements |

| Horsham District Planning Framework 2015 Policies | Replaced by the HDLP ('not saved') or retained ('saved') | New Policy Numbers |
|--|---|--|
| Policy 15 – Housing Provision | Not saved | Strategic Policy 37: Housing Provision |
| Policy 16 - Meeting Local Housing Needs | Not saved | Strategic Policy 38 – Meeting Local Housing Needs |
| Policy 17 - Exceptions Housing Schemes | Not saved | Policy 41 – Rural Exception Homes |
| Policy 18 - Retirement Housing and Specialist Care | Not saved | Policy 42: Retirement Housing and Specialist Care |
| Policy 19 - Park Homes and Residential Caravan Sites | Not saved | No like-for-like replacement – covered by other policies including rural exception homes |
| Policy 20 - Rural Workers Accommodation | Not saved | Policy 44: Rural Workers Accommodation |
| Policy SD1 – Land North of Horsham | Saved | n/a |
| Policy SD2 – Employment and Business Opportunities | Saved | n/a |
| Policy SD3 – Local Centre | Saved | n/a |
| Policy SD4 – Housing Needs | Saved | n/a |
| Policy SD5- Open Space, Sport and Recreation | Saved | n/a |
| Policy SD6 - Landscape Buffer, Landscape Character, Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Saved | n/a |
| Policy SD7 - Design | Saved | n/a |
| Policy SD8 - Education | Saved | n/a |
| Policy SD9 – Transport Infrastructure | Saved | n/a |

| Horsham District Planning Framework 2015 Policies | Replaced by the HDLP ('not saved') or retained ('saved') | New Policy Numbers |
|--|--|---|
| Policy SD10 - Southwater Strategic site | Not saved | No like-for-like replacement: Development Permitted |
| Policy 11 - Land South of Billingshurst | Not saved | No like-for-like replacement: Development Permitted |
| Policy 21 - Gypsy and Traveller Allocations | Not saved | Strategic Policy 43: Gypsies and Travellers |
| Policy 22 - Gypsy and Traveller Sites | Not saved | Strategic Policy 43: Gypsies and Travellers |
| Policy 23 - Gypsy and Traveller Site Accommodation | Not saved | Strategic Policy 43: Gypsies and Travellers |
| Policy 24 – Environmental Protection | Not saved | Strategic Policy 11 – Environmental Protection |
| Policy 25 - District Character and the Natural Environment | Not saved | Strategic Policy 13 – The Natural Environment and Landscape Character |
| Policy 26 - Countryside Protection | Not saved | Strategic Policy 14 – Countryside Protection |
| Policy 27 - Settlement Coalescence | Not saved | Strategic Policy 15 – Settlement Coalescence |
| Policy 28 - Replacement Dwellings and House Extensions in the Countryside | Not saved | Policy 45 - Replacement Dwellings and House Extensions in the Countryside |
| Policy 29 - Equestrian Development | Not saved | Policy 33 - Equestrian Development |
| Policy 30 - Protected Landscapes | Not saved | Strategic Policy 16: Protected Landscapes |
| Policy 31 - Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity | Not saved | Strategic Policy 17- Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity |
| Policy 32- The Quality of New Development | Not saved | Strategic Policy 19 – Development Quality |

| Horsham District Planning Framework 2015 Policies | Replaced by the HDLP ('not saved') or retained ('saved') | New Policy Numbers |
|--|--|---|
| Policy 33- Development Principles | Not saved | Strategic Policy 20 - Development Principles |
| Policy 34 - Heritage Assets and Managing Change within the Historic Environment | Not saved | Policy 21 - Heritage Assets and Managing Change within the Historic Environment |
| Policy 35 - Climate Change | Not saved | Strategic Policy 6: Climate Change |
| Policy 36- Appropriate Energy Use | Not saved | Strategic Policy 7: Appropriate Energy Use |
| Policy 37 – Sustainable Design and Construction | Not saved | Strategic Policy 8: Sustainable Design and Construction |
| Policy 38 - Flooding | Not saved | Strategic Policy 9: Flooding |
| Policy 39 – Infrastructure Provision | Not saved | Strategic Policy 23: Infrastructure Provision |
| Policy 40 – Sustainable Transport | Not saved | Strategic Policy 24: Sustainable Transport |
| Policy 41 - Parking | Not saved | Policy 25: Parking |
| Policy 42 – Inclusive Communities | Not saved | Strategic Policy 27: Inclusive Communities, Health and Wellbeing |
| Policy 43 - Community Facilities, Leisure and Recreation | Not saved | Policy 28: Community Facilities, Leisure and Recreation |

Appendix 2 – Table 11 Gypsy and Traveller (Suggested modification ref: SM50)

| Site | Existing Authorised Gypsy & Traveller Pitches | Proposed Additional Net Pitches | Total Gross Pitches (Total Net Pitches) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Sites with Planning Permission | | | |
| 1. <u>Pear Tree Farm, Furners Lane Henfield</u> | 0 | 5 | 5 (5) |
| 2. <u>Redgates, Burnhouse Lane, Lower Beeding</u> | 0 | 1 | 1 (1) |
| 3. <u>Parsons Field, Pulborough</u> | 0 | 1 | 1 (1) |
| Intensification of Existing Sites | | | |
| 4. <u>Land at Junction of Hill Farm Lane and Stane Street, Codmore Hill (Existing Site)</u> | 2 | 2 | 4 (2) |
| 5. <u>Fryern Park, Storrington (Existing site)</u> | 2 | 2 | 4 (2) |
| 6. <u>Northside Farm, Rusper Road (Existing site)</u> | 1 | 3 | 4 (3) |
| 7. <u>Southview, The Haven, Slinfold (Existing Site)</u> | 1 | 4 | 5 (4) |
| 8. <u>Sussex Topiary, Rudgwick (Existing Site)</u> | 4 | 8 | 12 (8) |
| 9. <u>Plot 3, Bramblefield, Crays Lane, Thakeham (Existing Site)</u> | 1 | 3 | 4 (3) |
| Regularisation of Existing Sites | | | |
| 10. <u>Kingfisher Farm, West Chiltington Lane, Barns Green (Regularisation of Existing Site)</u> | 0 | 11 | 11 (11) |
| New Allocations | | | |
| 11. <u>Land East of Coolham Road, Thakeham</u> | 0 | 2 | 2 (2) |
| 12. <u>Land North West of Junipers, Harbolets Road, West Chiltington</u> | 0 | 3 | 3 (3) |
| 13. <u>Downsview Paddock, New Hall Lane, Small Dole</u> | 0 | 1 | 1 (1) |
| 14. <u>Land at Girder Bridge, Gay Street Lane, North Heath, Pulborough</u> | 0 | 5 | 5 (5) |
| New Strategic Sites | | | |
| 15. <u>Land East of Billingshurst (Strategic Site Allocation)</u> | 0 | 5 | 5 (5) |
| 16. <u>Land West of Ifield (Strategic Site Allocation)</u> | 0 | 15 | 15 (15) |
| 17. <u>Land North West of Southwater (Strategic Site Allocation)</u> | 0 | 5 | 5 (5) |

| | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|---|
| TOTAL | 11 pitches | 6976 pitches | 80 <u>87</u> pitches (6976 pitches) |
| Travelling Showpeople Accommodation | Existing Plots | Proposed Additional Net Plots | Gross Plots (Total net Plots) |
| Honeybridge Lane, Dial Post | 4 | 1 | 5 (1) |