# Horsham District Local Plan (2023-2040)

Hearing Statement Relating to Matter 2 On Behalf of Vistry Group PLC Relating to Land to the North of Mannings Heath

November 2024





## **Contents**

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Our Responses to the Matters Issues and Questions:	5

Page

# Author

Gillings Planning Ltd 2 Wessex Business Park Colden Common Winchester Hampshire S021 1WP

Client Vistry Group PLC

Date of Issue 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2024

### 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This Hearing Statement has been prepared on behalf of our client Vistry Group PLC ('Vistry') in response to the publication of the Horsham District Local Plan 2023-2040 (the 'Plan').
- 1.2 Our client previously made representations at Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 stages of the Local Plan preparation.
- 1.3 Vistry have land interests within the Plan area at a site known as Land to the North of Mannings Heath.

#### Mannings Heath

1.4 Representations have previously been submitted to the Council's Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 consultation stages on the Horsham District Local Plan on behalf of Countryside Properties ('Countryside'), which is now part of the Vistry Group. This included documentation which set out the significant benefits of the Site, which adjoins the settlement boundary of Mannings Heath.



Aerial photograph showing the Mannings Heath site outlined in red (by courtesy of Google ©)

#### This Statement

- 1.5 This brief Hearing Statement has been prepared in accordance with the prevailing planning policy and guidance, in particular the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), September 2023 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG).
- 1.6 We do not seek to unnecessarily repeat points raised in the representations submitted by Vistry Group, but we have answered the questions posed by the Planning Inspector in the Matters, Issues and Questions (14<sup>th</sup> October 2024) where we feel it would be helpful to do so.
- 1.7 Gillings Planning, on behalf of the Vistry Group PLC wish to take a full and active part in the relevant Hearing sessions relating to their interests in the site.

### 2.0 Our Responses to the Matters, Issues and Questions

Matter 2 - Plan Period, Vision, Objectives and the Spatial Strategy

Matter 2, Issue 1 - Is the context and Plan period clear and would the strategic policies of the Plan look ahead over a minimum of 15 years from adoption?

**Q2.** Paragraph 1.2 of the Plan says the Plan considers a longer term context up to 30 years for strategic scale development. Which specific parts or policies of the Plan specifically considers this longer term context e.g. the "Strategic Site Allocations" and is the Plan effective in this regard?

- 2.1 We would support the Council and support modifications to the Plan if it adequately considered a longer term context for strategic scale development. At present, we consider that the Plan does not address the housing needs of the District for the next 15 years. Some future gazing, and acknowledgement that the next local plan will need to focus more development around settlements would help set the scene for the next local plan review and would help site promoters and communities to prepare.
- 2.2 Matter 2, Issue 3 Whether the Spatial Strategy and overarching policies for growth and change are justified, effective, consistent with national policy and positively prepared?

Q4. Is Strategic Policy 3: Settlement Expansion sound?

*b)* Is it justified and effective in terms of the approach to development outside of built-up area boundaries, secondary settlement boundaries or sites allocated in the Plan?

2.3 As set out in our representations, and not repeated here, we consider that SP3 is flawed and must be reconsidered to be found sound. We have suggested modifications in our representations that would increase flexibility that might assist the Council to meet its housing needs as they will inevitably evolve over the years ahead.