

Horsham District Council Local Plan 2023-2040 Examination

Matter 8: Housing

User ID: 1194254

Address: The Paddocks, Stonepit Lane, Henfield, West Sussex, BN5 9QU

Prepared as a response to Matters, Issues and Questions (MIQs)

On behalf of: **B Yond Homes Limited:**

Date: November 2024

[Redacted signature block]

Introduction

1. This Hearing Statement is for and on behalf of B.Yond Homes Limited, as prepared by Vail Williams LLP.
2. B.Yond Homes Limited (formerly Rydon Homes Limited) are promoting two sites, both within Henfield. This response to the MIQs is related to The Paddocks, Stonepit Lane, Henfield, West Sussex, BN5 9QU (ID number: 1194254).
3. B.Yond Homes Limited (as Rydon Homes Ltd) have regularly submitted information and representations to Horsham District Council, including the Regulation 19 stage.
4. This Hearing Statement is concerned with **Matter 8 (Housing)** as set out in the Inspector's Matters, Issues and Questions (MIQs) and has been prepared on the basis of the submitted Local Plan and the relevant evidence base.
5. This Statement supports the representations previously submitted by B.Yond Homes Limited under the Regulation 19 stage and only responds to the relevant questions for B.Yond Homes Limited raised within the MIQs.

Matter 8: Housing

Issue 1: Whether the housing requirement is justified, effective, consistent with national policy and positively prepared?

Q.1. Is Strategic Policy 37: Housing Provision sound?

a) Is the requirement for 13,212 homes between 2023 and 2040, below the local housing need for the area as determined by the standard method justified? Is it clear how the figure has been calculated and should this be explained more clearly in the justification text?

b) Would the adverse impacts of the Plan not providing for objectively assessed housing needs significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of doing so when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole? Is the overall housing requirement justified?

c) With reference to evidence, are the stepped annual requirements justified (in principle and scale of the step)?

d) Is the approach to the shortfall (the Liverpool method) justified?

It is considered that the proposed housing numbers put forward in the Plan are not justified. The council are failing to meet their identified housing need and this is based upon the impact of water neutrality. The topic paper 1, spatial strategy, produced by Horsham District Council in September 2024 (HDC02) confirms that "Given the impacts of water neutrality, it was concluded that the level of housing growth set out in the 2021 local plan would no longer be deliverable" (paragraph 7.1). Whilst the constraints of water neutrality are acknowledged, it is considered there is sufficient time over the whole Plan period for appropriate mitigation to be identified and put in place to address any harm in relation to the SAC. Once an appropriate mitigation strategy has been implemented, then there would be no additional harm to the

SAC through further development and the benefits of meeting housing needs should be taken into account and the Plan could deliver higher numbers of housing in response to identified needs.

It is noted that the council have previously proposed a significantly higher number of homes per year, as set out in the Regulation 19 representations, and the impact of water neutrality is not considered an absolute constraint over the whole Plan period.

In addition, the government are proposing updates to national policy, along with their overarching commitment to increase house building and the delivery of 1.5 million more homes. Whilst this Plan is significantly progressed under the relevant NPPF, the direction of travel from government and commitment to increasing the housing delivery, combined with the potential solution over the whole Plan period for water neutrality, should be reflected within either the housing targets or, potentially, as a requirement for an early review.

With reference to the stepped annual requirement and the approach to shortfall (Liverpool method), the evidence and the council acknowledge the reduction in housing delivery due to a variety of reasons over recent years (such as COVID and water neutrality). A reduction in housing delivery is likely to have adverse consequences for the local community and economy and, therefore, in this instance it is considered that an increase in housing within the early part of the Plan would help to respond to recent circumstance and provide numerous benefits.

Q.2. Are main modifications needed to the Plan to clarify the latest position with regard to the Crawley Local Plan and unmet housing need in the housing market area?

Yes, given the recent adoption of the Crawley Local Plan and the close relationship between the Councils for housing and employment. This will confirm the level of unmet need and further illustrate the housing shortfall across the Housing Market Area.

Q.3. Is there any substantive evidence that the Plan should be accommodating unmet need from neighbours, and if so, would it be sound to do so? In any event, should any unmet needs from other relevant areas be clearly identified in the Plan?

Horsham has a history of close working with Crawley to accommodate housing that is unmet in their Borough. This cross boundary working, within the same HMA, is part of the Duty to Cooperate and ensure housing needs are met. Consequently, the District and HMA are failing to plan for adequate housing and meet the identified need.

Q.4. Should Strategic Policy 37: Housing Provision also set out a housing requirement for designated neighbourhood areas which reflects the overall strategy for the pattern and scale of development in line with paragraph 66 of the NPPF?

It is considered there is the opportunity to set out a minimum housing requirement for a designated area, in accordance with paragraph 66 of the NPPF to significantly boosting the supply of homes, that could utilise the settlement hierarchy to ensure quantum and delivery are aligned with sustainability.

Issue 2: Whether the overall housing land supply and site selection process is justified, effective, consistent with national policy and positively prepared?

Q.1. Were the proposed housing allocations selected on the basis of an understanding of what land is suitable, available and achievable for housing in the plan area using an appropriate and proportionate

methodology, and are there clear reasons why other land which has not been allocated has been discounted?

As set out in the Regulation 19 representations, it is considered that sites have been unnecessarily discounted where it would be adjacent to a settlement boundary once the policy map is updated to reflect other site allocations. In addition, separation from a settlement boundary by an existing road or other feature is considered to artificially constrain / remove sites that are available and excludes them from a full assessment as part of the SHELAA process.

Q.2. The NPPF at paragraph 74 states strategic policies should include a trajectory illustrating the expected rate of housing delivery over the plan period? Is this achieved by Figure 6 of the Plan?

Q.3. The Plan does not appear to provide land to accommodate at least 10% of the housing requirement on sites no larger than one hectare as required paragraph 69 a) of the NPPF, why?

In summary, the Council have staggered their housing trajectory and are overly reliant upon strategic sites to deliver the majority of the housing. Figure 6 does not break down the anticipated annual totals to identify the individual allocations or source of housing. In addition, there is no guarantee that neighbourhood plans will (unless already made) be progressed and allocate sufficient further sites. It is considered that there are sufficient sites promoted through the SHELAA to enable further allocations to be incorporated within the Plan, thereby providing sufficient flexibility and a buffer for non-delivery, and to help housing delivery increase towards the identified need (and potentially assist with meeting wider HMA requirements).

Expertise

Related Services

Agency – Renting & Letting

Comprehensive commercial property search and acquisition / letting service, designed to help you to achieve the best possible property outcome - whether occupier or landlord.

Buying & Selling

Support throughout the process of acquiring or selling freehold commercial premises or development land.

Business Rates

Expert support to navigate the increasingly complex world of business rates, exploring opportunities to save you money at every turn.

Building and Project Consultancy

Technical property services designed to support you throughout the lifecycle of your property needs, whether occupier, landlord, investor, developer, or lender.

Building Surveying

Professional technical building surveying services, to provide insight for evidence-based property decision-making.

Property Development

Advice for landowners and property developers and their professional teams, to realise best development value in line with your objectives, for commercial, residential, and mixed-use schemes.

Lease Advisory

Commercially astute, detailed strategic advice on all aspects of commercial property leases for occupier and landlords - from pre-contract negotiations and rent reviews, to lease renewal and exit strategy.

LPA Receivership

Specialist advice for lenders with non-performing loans, to recover money owed on defaulted loans, with a clear strategy and in the most efficient way possible.

Marine & Leisure

Full-service property advice to help occupiers, landlords and investors throughout the lifecycle of their marine and leisure asset needs - from buying and selling, to fundamentals like income, profitability and cashflow.

Occupier Advisory

Full-service advice for occupiers of commercial property, to ensure property strategy is aligned with business needs, either managing properties for you, or supporting in-house teams to ensure your portfolio becomes a true asset.

Planning

Advising landowners, developers, and their teams, on the most appropriate planning strategy to achieve planning consent, ensuring the successful delivery of your project in line with your objectives.

Project Management

Working with developers, owner occupiers, tenants and funders, to plan, budget, oversee and document all aspects of your project, to ensure successful delivery on time and on budget.

Property Asset Management

Bespoke property asset management services for local authorities, private individuals, property companies and trust funds, delivered by a team of over 25 client accountants, facilities managers, and surveyors.

Property Investment

Working with institutional investors, property companies and private investors, as well as over 45 local authorities across the UK, to acquire property investments, maximise their value and ongoing return, or achieve the best possible returns upon their sale.

Residential Property

Understanding the full dynamics of residential property as an investment, our team of over 40 planners, property valuation experts, building surveyors, investment advisers and development consultants, will ensure your residential projects happen.

Valuation

Accurate property valuation assessments delivered by experienced surveyors across a variety of sectors and asset classes, for secured lending, acquisition, tax or financial reporting purposes.

Our Offices and Regions:

Gatwick

Crawley
Brighton

London

London

Midlands and North

Birmingham
Leeds

South Coast

Southampton
Portsmouth
Bournemouth

Surrey

Woking

Thames Valley

Heathrow
Oxford
Reading

Our Services

- Building Consultancy
- Business Rates Consultancy
- Commercial Property
- Investment
- Lease Advisory
- LPA Receivership
- Marine and Leisure
- Occupier Advisory
- Property Acquisition and Disposal
- Property Asset Management
- Property Development
- Consultancy
- Property Planning Consultancy
- Property Valuation



Vail Williams LLP, a Limited Liability Partnership, registered in England (number OC319702). Registered office: Savannah House, 3 Ocean Way, Ocean Village, Southampton, SO14 3TJ. Any reference to a Partner means a Member of Vail Williams LLP or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications. A full list of Members is open for inspection at the registered office.

Regulated by RICS

www.vailwilliams.com

